

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23.10.15	Surveyor: M Knagg
----------------	-------------------

Tree details	
TPO Ref: 565 (2015)	Tree/Group No: Woodland
compartments x2; Group x1	
Species: Sycamore, Hawthorn, beech, ash, oak, elm and others	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe Unsuitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 5 – In keeping with the locality, generally good condition, long periods of remaining life potential</p>
--

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 4 – Many of the trees have the potential to live in excess of 40 years, including ash, alder, willow; yew and horse chestnut has the potential to live in excess of 100 years</p>

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 4 – Includes many large trees clearly visible within the landscape, visible from the public domain</p>
--

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

<p>Score & Notes 5 – Form a green canal corridor within BHS</p>
--

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

<p>Score & Notes 3 – Site to be proposed for development</p>

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

<p>Add Scores for Total:</p>

<p>Decision: Definitely</p>
